arXiv:1603.03910v1 [math.NT] 12 Mar 2016

A characteristic 2 recurrence related to U_3, with a Hecke algebra application

Paul Monsky

Brandeis University, Waltham MA 02454-9110, USA. monsky@brandeis.edu

Abstract

I begin with a simple modular form motivated proof of the following: Let C_n in $Z/2[[t]]$ be defined by $C_{n+4} = C_{n+3} + (t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + t)C_n + t^n(t^2 + t)$, with initial values 0, 1, t and t^2 for C_0, C_1, C_2 and C_3. Then every C_{4m} is a sum of C_k with $k < 4m$.

This, combined with earlier results, yields: If K consists of all mod 2 modular forms of level $\Gamma_0(3)$ annihilated by U_2 and $U_3 + I$, then K has a basis adapted (in the sense of Nicolas and Serre) to the Hecke operators T_7 and T_{13}; consequently the Hecke algebra attached to K is a power series ring in these two operators.

1 The polynomials C_n

In the finite characteristic theory of modular forms, the Hecke operators T_p give rise to remarkable recursions. J.-L. Nicolas and J.-P. Serre [3,4] used recurrences attached to T_3 and T_5 to show that the characteristic 2 level 1 Hecke algebra is a power series ring in these two operators. In [2] I gave a level 3 variant of the Nicolas-Serre results; my underlying space was a subquotient, $N2/N1$, of the space of mod 2 modular forms of level $\Gamma_0(3)$, and the resulting Hecke algebra contained nilpotents.

In fact, the Hecke operators U_p, where p divides the level, also give interesting recurrences. I begin this note with one such recurrence, coming from U_3 in characteristic 2 and level 3. Section 1 introduces C_n, $n \geq 0$, in $Z/2[t]$, satisfying the recurrence. Section 2 proves a basic property—each C_{4m} is a $Z/2$-linear combination of C_k with $k < 4m$. This is built on in section 3. In the final section I combine my results with results from [2] to show the following. Let K consist of the mod 2 modular forms of level $\Gamma_0(3)$ annihilated by U_2 and U_3+I. Then K "admits a basis adapted to T_7 and T_{13}", and the Hecke algebra attached to K is a power series ring in these two operators.

Remark *Sections 1–3 use nothing more than algebra in a polynomial ring $Z/2[r]$. The arguments I make are really modular forms arguments in transparent disguise—$Z/2[r]$ is really a ring of modular forms, F and G are really the mod 2 reductions of $\Delta(z)$ and $\Delta(3z)$, $U : Z/2[r] \to Z/2[r]$ is really U_3, and $T : Z/2[F] \to Z/2[F]$ is really T_3, but all this can be ignored. The final section, however, does require some acquaintance with [2] and with modular forms.*

Definition 1.1 *F and G are the elements $r(r+1)^3$ and $r^3(r+1)$ of $Z/2[r]$. Note that $F+G = r(r+1)$ and that $F^4+G^4+FG = 0$.*

Definition 1.2 *$\varphi : Z/2[r] \to Z/2[r]$ is "semi-linear" if it is $Z/2$-linear and $\varphi(Gf) = F\varphi(f)$.*

Since a basis of $Z/2[r]$ as module over $Z/2[G] = Z/2[r^4+r^3]$ is $1, r, r^2, r^3$, a semi-linear map is determined by the images of these 4 elements, and for any choice of 4 elements to be images, there is a corresponding semi-linear map.

Definition 1.3 *$U : Z/2[r] \to Z/2[r]$ is the semi-linear map taking 1, r, r^2 and r^3 to 1, r, r^2 and r^3+r^2+r. Since $U(1) = 1$, U takes G^n to F^n.*

Lemma 1.4 *$U(f^2) = (U(f))^2$.*

Proof Since $1, r, r^2, r^3$ is a basis of $Z/2[r]$ over $Z/2[G]$, and $U(G) = F$, it suffices to prove this when f is 1, r, r^2 and r^3. The cases $f = 1$ and $f = r$ are immediate. And:

$r^4 = G + r^3$. So $U(r^4) = F + (r^3+r^2+r) = r^4 = (U(r^2))^2$.
$r^6 = (r^2+r)G + r^4$. So $U(r^6) = (r^2+r)F + r^4 = r^6+r^2+r^4 = (U(r^3))^2$. □

Lemma 1.5

(a) $U(r^{n+4}) = U(r^{n+3}) + (r^4+r^3+r^2+r)U(r^n)$.
(b) $U((r^2+r)r^{2n}) = (r^2+r)A_n(r^2)$ *for some* A_n *in* $Z/2[t]$.

Proof $r^4 = r^3 + G$. Multiplying by r^n, applying U and using semi-linearity we get (a). When $n = 0$, we have (b) with $A_0 = 1$. Suppose $n \geq 1$. Then by (a) and Lemma 1.4, $U((r^2+r)r^{2n}) = (r^4+r^3+r^2+r)U(r^{2n-2}) = (r^2+r)(r^2+1)(U(r^{n-1}))^2$. So if we write $U(r^{n-1})$ as $g(r)$ and set $A_n = (t+1)g(t)$, we get (b). □

Lemma 1.6 *Let A_n be as in Lemma 1.5. Then:*

(a) $A_{n+4} = A_{n+3} + (t^4+t^3+t^2+t)A_n$.
(b) A_0, A_1, A_2 *and* A_3 *are* 1, $t+1$, t^2+t *and* t^3+t^2.

Proof $r^8 = r^6 + G^2$. Multiplying by $(r^2+r)r^{2n}$, applying U, and then dividing

by r^2+r, we find that $A_{n+4}(r^2) = A_{n+3}(r^2) + (r^8+r^6+r^4+r^2)A_n(r^2)$, giving (a). A_0 is evidently 1. Since U fixes 1, r and r^2, the last sentence in the proof of Lemma 1.5 shows that A_1, A_2 and A_3 are $t+1$, t^2+t and t^3+t^2. □

Definition 1.7 *C_n in $Z/2[t]$ is $A_n + t^n$, with A_n as in Lemma 1.5.*

Theorem 1.8
(a) $C_{n+4} = C_{n+3} + (t^4+t^3+t^2+t)C_n + t^n(t^2+t)$.
(b) C_0, C_1, C_2 and C_3 are 0, 1, t and t^2.
(c) $U+I$ takes $(r^2+r)r^{2n}$ to $(r^2+r)C_n(r^2)$.

Proof (a) and (b) are immediate from (a) and (b) of Lemma 1.6, while (c) comes from Lemma 1.5 (b). □

Lemma 1.9 *If C_n is a $Z/2$-linear combination of C_k with $k < n$, then 4 divides n.*

Proof Note first that degree $C_n = n-1$ if $n \not\equiv 0$ (4) and is $< n-1$ if $n \equiv 0$ (4). This follows from (b) of Theorem 1.8 if $n < 4$, and from induction using (a) of Theorem 1.8 in general. So if $n \not\equiv 0$ (4), degree $C_n = n-1$ while each C_k, $k < n$, has degree $< n-1$, and C_n is not a $Z/2$-linear combination of such C_k. □

2 A key property of the C_n

We shall prove a converse to Lemma 1.9—each C_{4m} is a $Z/2$-linear combination of C_k with $k < 4m$. In [1], I asked whether this was true, and Peter Müller, in a computer calculation taking a few seconds, showed that it held for $4m < 10,000$. I then succeeded in finding a proof.

Lemma 2.1 *U takes 1, F, F^2 and F^3 to 1, G, G^2 and G^3+F.*

Proof $U(F+G) = U(r^2+r) = r^2+r = F+G$. Since $U(G) = F$, $U(F) = G$. So $U(F^2) = G^2$. Also, Definition 1.1 shows that $F^3 = (r+1)^8 G$, and that $G^3 = r^8 F$. Since $U(r^8) = r^8$, $U(F^3) = (r+1)^8 F = r^8 F + F = G^3 + F$. □

Lemma 2.2 *Let α be the isomorphism of $Z/2[F]$ with $Z/2[G]$ taking F^n to G^n. Then if P_n is either $\alpha(F^n) = G^n$ or $U(F^n)$:*

$$(\star) \qquad P_{n+4} + F^4 P_n + F P_{n+1} = 0.$$

Proof As we saw in Definition 1.1, $F^4 + G^4 + FG = 0$. Multiplying by G^n we get $(\star)$ with $P_n = \alpha(F^n) = G^n$. Multiplying instead by F^n and applying the semi-linear operator U we get $(\star)$ with $P_n = U(F^n)$. □

Definition 2.3 *For f in $Z/2[F]$, $T(f) = U(f) + \alpha(f)$.*

Theorem 2.4

(a) T takes 1, F, F^2 and F^3 to 0, 0, 0 and F.

(b) T stabilizes $Z/2[F]$. In fact $T(F^n)$ is a sum of F^k with $k \leq n-2$ and congruent to n mod 2.

Proof (a) follows from Lemma 2.1. In particular the second assertion in (b) holds for $n \leq 3$. Now let $P_n = T(F^n)$. By Lemma 2.2 these P_n satisfy the recursion $(\star)$. An induction on n, using $(\star)$, completes the proof of (b). $\square$

Lemma 2.5 *Let u_0, u_1 and u_2 be G, F and $(F+G)^2G$. Then:*

(a) The u_i are linearly independent over $Z/2[G]$.

(b) Each u_i is $(r^2+r)g(r^2)$ for some g of degree ≤ 3.

Proof Since 1, F and F^2 are linearly independent over $Z/2[G]$ we get (a). The g corresponding to u_0 and u_1 are t and $t+1$. And since $(F+G)G = (r^2+r)(r^4+r^3) = r^6+r^4$, the g corresponding to u_2 is t^3+t^2. $\square$

Now fix $m \geq 0$.

Definition 2.6 *L is the space spanned by the u_iG^{2n} with the u_i as above, and $0 \leq n \leq m$. L^* consists of the $(r^2+r)g(r^2)$, where g in $Z/2[t]$ has degree $\leq 4m+3$.*

Lemma 2.7 *L has dimension $3m+3$ and is contained in L^*.*

Proof (a) of Lemma 2.5 gives the first result. Since $G^{2n} = (r^8+r^6)^n$ and $n \leq m$, (b) of Lemma 2.5 gives the second. $\square$

Remark *Let $M(odd)$ consist of all elements of $Z/2[r]$ of the form $(r^2+r)g(r^2)$, g in $Z/2[t]$. Lemma 1.5 (b) shows that U stabilizes $M(odd)$. Moreover if the A_n are as in Lemma 1.5, then Lemma 1.6 and an induction show that degree $(A_n) \leq n$. It follows that U stabilizes L^*. (But when $m \geq 1$, U does not stabilize L. For $G^3 = G^2u_0$ lies in L, but $U(G^3) = F^3$ is not even a $Z/2[G]$-linear combination of u_0, u_1 and u_2).*

Lemma 2.8 *For $0 \leq i \leq 2$, $(U+I)^2 = U^2 + I$ maps F^iG^k to $F^iT(F^k)$.*

Proof $U(F^iG^k) = F^kU(F^i)$; since $i \leq 2$ this is F^kG^i. Then $U^2(F^iG^k) = F^i(U(F^k)) = F^iG^k + F^iT(F^k)$, and U^2+I takes F^iG^k to $F^iT(F^k)$. $\square$

Theorem 2.9 *Let K_m be the kernel of $U+I : L^* \to L^*$. (The remark shows that $U+I$ stabilizes L^*.) Then the dimension of K_m is greater than or equal to $m+1$.*

Proof Each $u_i G^{2n}$ with $n \leq m$ is a sum of $F^i G^k$ where $i \leq 2$ and $i+k$ is both $\leq 2m+3$ and odd. By Lemma 2.8, the image of such an element under $(U+I)^2$ is a sum of $F^i T(F^k)$ with $i+k \leq 2m+3$ and odd. By Theorem 2.4 (b) each such $F^i T(F^k)$ is in the space spanned by the F^n with $n \leq 2m+1$ and odd. It follows that the image of L under $(U+I)^2$ has dimension $\leq m+1$, and that the kernel has dimension $\geq (3m+3) - (m+1) = 2m+2$. Since $L \subset L^*$, $(U+I)^2 : L^* \to L^*$ has a kernel of dimension at least $2m+2$, and the dimension of K_m is at least $m+1$. $\square$

Theorem 2.10 *C_{4m} is a $Z/2$-linear combination of C_k with $k < 4m$.*

Proof Let L and L^* be as in Definition 2.6, and K_m be as in Theorem 2.9. Suppose $f = (r^2+r)g(r^2)$ is in K_m. Write g as $t^j +$ (a sum of t^k with $k < j$). Applying $U+I$ and using Theorem 1.8 (c), we find that C_j is the sum of the corresponding C_k. So by Lemma 1.9, 4 divides j. Since $j \leq 4m+3$, the degree, $2j+2$, of f in r is an element of $[0, 8m+8]$ that is congruent to 2 mod 8. Note that there are exactly $m+1$ such elements. Now K_m admits a $Z/2$-basis consisting of elements having distinct degrees in r. We've just shown that the only possible degrees are the $m+1$ integers in $\{2, 10, \cdots, 8m+2\}$. Since K_m has dimension at least $m+1$, all of these degrees do occur, and there is an $f = (r^2+r)g(r^2)$ in K_m with degree $g = 4m$. Write g as $t^{4m} +$ a sum of t^k with $k < 4m$. Applying $U+I$ and using Theorem 1.8 (c) once again we find that C_{4m} is the sum of the corresponding C_k. $\square$

We conclude this section with:

Lemma 2.11 *The kernels of $(U+I)^2$ acting on L and on L^* are the same.*

Proof The proof of Theorem 2.9 shows that the first kernel has dimension at least $2m+2$. So it's enough to show that the second kernel has dimension $\leq 2m+2$. But in the proof of Theorem 2.10 we constructed a basis of K_m having $m+1$ elements. $\square$

3 The structure of K

In the last section we constructed a sequence of subspaces $K_0 \subset K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \ldots$ of $Z/2[r]$ and, in the proof of Theorem 2.10, showed that K_m has dimension $m+1$.

Definition 3.1 *K is the union of the K_m. Alternatively, K is the kernel of $U+I : M(odd) \to M(odd)$, where $M(odd)$ consists of the $(r^2+r)g(r^2)$, g in $Z/2[t]$.*

In this section we construct an isomorphism between K and a certain subquotient, $K1/N1$, of $Z/2[r]$.

Definition 3.2 *$N2$, $K1$, $K5$, and $N1$ are the (free) $Z/2[G^2]$-submodules of $Z/2[r]$ with the following bases.*

N2:	*A basis is $\{G, F, F^2G\}$.*
K1 and K5:	*Bases are $\{G, F\}$ and $\{G, F^2G\}$.*
N1:	*A basis is $\{G\}$.*

Note that $N2/N1$ is the direct sum of $K1/N1$ and $K5/N1$. This gives us a projection map, pr $1 : N2/N1 \to K1/N1$.

Lemma 3.3 *$K \subset N2$.*

Proof Suppose f is in K. Then f is in some K_m, f is in an L^*, and by Lemma 2.11, f is in an L. So f is a $Z/2[G^2]$-linear combination of $u_0 = G$, $u_1 = F$ and $u_2 = F^2G + G^3$. □

Composing the inclusion of K in $N2$ with pr 1, we get a map $K \to K1/N1$ which we also call pr 1. Our goal is to show that this pr 1 is bijective.

Lemma 3.4 *Suppose $f \neq 0$ is in K. Write f as $(r^2 + r)g(r^2)$ with degree g equal to $4m$. Then* pr $1\,(f) = (G^{2m} +$ *a sum of $G^{2k}, k < m) \cdot F$.*

Proof $G^{2n}(F + G)$, $G^{2n}(G)$ and $G^{2n+1}(F + G)^2$ have degrees $8n + 2$, $8n + 4$ and $8n + 8$ in r. Lemma 3.3 shows that f, which has degree $8m + 2$, is a sum of $G^{2m}(F + G)$, various $G^{2k}(F + G)$ with $k < m$, various $G^{2n}(G)$ and various $G^{2n}(F^2G + G^3)$. Applying pr 1 which annihilates each $G^{2n}(G)$ and each $G^{2n}(F^2G)$, we get the result.

It's now easy to see that pr $1 : K \to K1/N1$ is bijective. Recall that for each $m \geq 0$ there is an element $(r^2 + r)g(r^2)$ of K with degree $g = 4m$, and that furthermore if we choose one such element for each $m \geq 0$ we get a $Z/2$-basis of K. Since the $G^{2m}F$, $m \geq 0$, form a $Z/2$-basis of $K1/N1$, Lemma 3.4 gives the result. □

4 The action of T_p and the main theorem

Up to now, everything we've done is algebra in a polynomial ring $Z/2[r]$. We now connect this with modular forms, using language from [2]. Instead of r being an indeterminate it is now the explicit element $\sum_{n>0}(x^{n^2} + x^{2n^2} + x^{3n^2} + x^{6n^2})$ of $Z/2[[x]]$. Then $Z/2[r]$ is a subspace of $Z/2[[x]]$ and [2], Corollary 2.5,

shows that this subspace is the space, M, of mod 2 modular forms of level $\Gamma_0(3)$. (See [2], Definitions 2.2 and 2.3.)

Definition 4.1 *U_2, U_3 and T_p (p a prime > 3) are the following commuting operators on $Z/2[[x]]$.*

$$U_2(\sum a_n x^n) = \sum a_{2n} x^n.$$
$$U_3(\sum a_n x^n) = \sum a_{3n} x^n.$$
$$T_p(\sum a_n x^n) = \sum a_{pn} x^n + \sum a_n x^{pn}.$$

Lemma 4.2 *The above operators stabilize $Z/2[r]$.*

This is immediate from the modular forms interpretation of $Z/2[r]$.

Lemma 4.3

$$F = \textstyle\sum_{n \text{ odd},\ n>0} x^{n^2}.$$
$$G = F(x^3).$$

Proof See [2], Theorem 2.8, and the first sentence of [2], section 2. □

Lemma 4.4 *$U_3 : Z/2[r] \to Z/2[r]$ is the map U.*

Proof Lemma 4.3 shows that $U_3(Gf) = FU_3(f)$. So U_3, like U, is semi-linear, and it's enough to show that they agree on a basis of $Z/2[r]$ over $Z/2[G]$. But they each fix 1, r, r^2 and r^4. □

Lemma 4.5 *The $M(odd)$ of Definition 3.1 consists of those elements of $Z/2[r]$ that are odd power series in x. In other words, it is the kernel of $U_2 : Z/2[r] \to Z/2[r]$.*

Proof See [2], Definition 2.6 and Theorem 2.7. □

Combining Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5 we find:

Theorem 4.6 *The kernel K of $U + I : M(odd) \to M(odd)$ is just the space consisting of those mod 2 modular forms of level $\Gamma_0(3)$ that are annihilated by U_2 and by $U_3 + I$. In particular the T_p, $p > 3$, stabilize K.*

Definition 4.7 *For $i = 1$ or 2, $p_{3,i} : Z/2[[x]] \to Z/2[[x]]$ is the map $\sum a_n x^n \to \sum_{n \equiv i \pmod 3} a_n x^n$.*

Lemma 4.8 *$K1$ consists of those elements of $M(odd)$ annihilated by $p_{3,2}$, $K5$ of those elements annihilated by $p_{3,1}$.*

Proof See [2], Definition 2.24 and Theorem 2.15. □

Corollary 4.9 *The T_p, $p \equiv 1\ (6)$, stabilize $K1$ and $K5$. The T_p with $p \equiv 5\ (6)$ map $K1$ into $K5$ and $K5$ into $K1$. The T_p with $p > 3$ stabilize both $N2 = K1 + K5$ and $N1 = K1 \cap K5$.*

Now the T_p with $p \equiv 1\ (6)$ act on K; by Corollary 4.9 they also act on $K1/N1$.

Lemma 4.10 *The bijection* pr $1 : K \to K1/N1$ *of the last section preserves the action of the T_p, $p \equiv 1\ (6)$.*

Proof pr 1 is the composition of $K \subset N2$, $N2 \to N2/N1$ and the projection map $N2/N1 \to K1/N1$ of the sentence preceding Lemma 3.3. The first two of these maps preserve the action of T_p, $p > 3$, while the third preserves the action of T_p, $p \equiv 1\ (6)$. (Here we use Lemma 4.8.) $\square$

Definition 4.11 *$D = x + x^{25} + x^{49} + \cdots$ is $p_{3,1}(F)$. $W1$ is spanned by the D^k, $k \equiv 1\ (6)$, $W5$ by the D^k, $k \equiv 5\ (6)$.*

Now let X and Y be the Hecke operators T_7 and T_{13}. These act on a number of spaces we've considered, and in particular on $W1$, $W5$, $K1/N1$, $K5/N1$ and K.

Lemma 4.12 *$W5$ has "a basis adapted to X and Y" with $m_{0,0} = D^5$. More precisely there is a $Z/2$-basis $m_{i,j}$ $(i \geq 0, j \geq 0)$ of $W5$ such that:*

(1) $m_{0,0} = D^5$.
(2) $Xm_{i,j} = m_{i-1,j}$ *or* 0 *according as* $i > 0$ *or* $i = 0$.
(3) $Ym_{i,j} = m_{i,j-1}$ *or* 0 *according as* $j > 0$ *or* $j = 0$.

Similarly, $W1$ has a basis adapted to X and Y with $m_{0,0} = D$.

Proof The first result is [2], Corollary 4.13. And [2], Theorem 4.20 shows that $T_5 : W5 \to W1$ is bijective, takes D^5 to D and preserves the action of T_p, $p \equiv 1\ (6)$. So we deduce the second result from the first. $\square$

Theorem 4.13
(a) $K1/N1$ has a basis adapted to X and Y with $m_{0,0} = F$.
(b) $K5/N1$ has a basis adapted to X and Y with $m_{0,0} = F^2G$.

Proof In the remark following the proof of [2], Theorem 2.17, we constructed an isomorphism of $N2/N1$ with $W = W1 \oplus W5$ which commutes with the T_p, $p > 3$. This isomorphism takes F to D, F^2G to D^5, $K1/N1$ to $W1$ and $K5/N1$ to $W5$. So the results follow from Lemma 4.12. $\square$

We now prove the main theorem—K (which by Theorem 4.6 consists of those mod 2 modular forms of level $\Gamma_0(3)$ annihilated by U_2 and $U_3 + I$) has a basis adapted to T_7 and T_{13} with $m_{0,0} = F + G$, and as a consequence the Hecke algebra attached to K is a power series ring in T_7 and T_{13}.

Note first that pr 1 takes the element $F+G$ of K to F. Then Theorem 4.13, combined with Lemma 4.10, shows that K has a basis adapted to T_7 and T_{13} with $m_{0,0} = F+G$. It also follows that K has the structure of $Z/2[[X,Y]]$-module with X and Y acting by T_7 and T_{13}, and that this action is faithful. Now each T_p, $p > 3$, stabilizes K. It remains to show that $T_p : K \to K$ is multiplication by some element of (X,Y). Now T_p commutes with T_7 and T_{13} and so is $Z/2[[X,Y]]$-linear. The existence of an adapted basis for K then shows (see the proof of [2], Theorem 4.16) that $T_p : K \to K$ is multiplication by some u in $Z/2[[X,Y]]$. Since $T_p(m_{0,0}) = T_p(F+G) = 0$, u is in (X,Y), and we're done.

We conclude this note by comparing two Hecke algebras. The inclusion of K in $N2$ gives a map $K \to N2/N1$ which is 1–1. The T_p, $p > 3$, act both on K and $N2/N1$ and we have corresponding Hecke algebras $\mathrm{HE}(K)$ and $\mathrm{HE}(N2/N1)$. The inclusion of K in $N2/N1$ gives an onto ring homomorphism $\mathrm{HE}(N2/N1) \to \mathrm{HE}(K)$ taking T_p to T_p for all $p > 3$. Now in [2] we've shown that $\mathrm{HE}(N2/N1)$ is a power series ring in T_7 and T_{13} with an element ε of square 0 adjoined, and that ε can be taken to be $T_5 + \lambda(T_7, T_{13})$ for a certain two-variable power series, λ. Since $\mathrm{HE}(K)$ is, as we've shown, a two-variable power series ring, the kernel of $\mathrm{HE}(N2/N1) \to \mathrm{HE}(K)$ is a height 0 prime ideal of $\mathrm{HE}(N2/N1)$ which can only be (ε). Let $\mathrm{HE}(N2/N1)_{\mathrm{red}}$ be the quotient of $\mathrm{HE}(N2/N1)$ by its nilradical. We've shown:

Theorem 4.14 *There is an isomorphism of* $\mathrm{HE}(N2/N1)_{\mathrm{red}}$ *with* $\mathrm{HE}(K)$ *taking* T_p *to* T_p *for each* $p > 3$.

Remark *Since* ε *annihilates* K *it annihilates the image of* K *in* $N2/N1$. *So this image* $\subset \varepsilon \cdot (N2/N1)$. *It's easy to see that the image is all of* $\varepsilon \cdot (N2/N1)$.

References

[1] P. Monsky (2015). "A characteristic 2 polynomial recursion". mathoverflow.net question 214621.

[2] P. Monsky (2015). "A Hecke algebra attached to mod 2 modular forms of level 3". arXiv:1508.07523.

[3] J-L. Nicolas, J-P. Serre (2012). "Formes modulaires modulo 2: l'ordre de nilpotence des opérateurs de Hecke". Comptes Rendus Mathématique 350(7–8):343–348. doi:10.1016/j.crma.2012.03.013.

[4] J-L. Nicolas, J-P. Serre (2012). "Formes modulaires modulo 2: structure de l'algèbre de Hecke". Comptes Rendus Mathématique 350(9–10):449–454. doi:10.1016/j.crma.2012.03.019.